1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT SEATTLE 7 TARA MAPLES, 8 Case No. C24-0412 RSM Plaintiff, 9 ORDER AMENDING THE v. SCHEDULING ORDER 10 COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY, 11 Defendant. 12 13 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's unopposed motion for extension of time. Dkt. 8. The Court first notes Plaintiff was not entirely clear about the nature of her request. In 14 15 her motion, Plaintiff requested the Court "to extend this case for 45 days, from the current due date, June 27th, 2024 to August 11th, 2024," while in her proposed order, she indicated she is 16 asking for an extension of time "to file a response to Plaintiff's Complaint." Dkts. 8, 8-1. Given 17 the Court issued in its Scheduling Order that Plaintiff's Opening Brief is due on June 27, 2024 18 19 (Dkt. 7 at 1), the Court assumes Plaintiff is requesting to amend the scheduling order. 20 The Court also notes Plaintiff's motion is based on her counsel's inability to complete her 21 Opening Brief as ordered "due to some last minute workload management requirements." Dkt. 8 22 at 1. The Court will grant the motion but advises Plaintiff's counsel that workload management 23

ORDER AMENDING THE SCHEDULING ORDER - 1

1	will not be sufficient grounds for further extensions of time. Accordingly, it is hereby
2	ORDERED that the Scheduling Order is amended as follows:
3	Plaintiff's Opening Brief is due August 11, 2024,
4	Defendant's Response Brief is due September 10, 2024, and
5	Plaintiff's Optional Reply Brief is due September 24, 2024.
6	DATED this 28th day of June, 2024.
7	
8	
9	Ricardo S. Martinez United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23